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We examine the role of family- and individual-level protective factors in the relation between exposure to ethnic-political conflict and violence and posttraumatic stress among Israeli and Palestinian youth. Specifically, we examine whether parental mental health (lack of depression), positive parenting, children’s self-esteem, and academic achievement moderate the relation between exposure to ethnic-political conflict/violence and subsequent posttraumatic stress (PTS) symptoms. We collected three waves of data from 901 Israeli and 600 Palestinian youths (three age cohorts: 8, 11, and 14 years old; approximately half of each gender) and their parents at 1-year intervals. Greater cumulative exposure to ethnic-political conflict/violence across the first 2 waves of the study predicted higher subsequent PTS symptoms even when we controlled for the child’s initial level of PTS symptoms. This relation was significantly moderated by a youth’s self-esteem and by the positive parenting received by the youth. In particular, the longitudinal relation between exposure to violence and subsequent PTS symptoms was
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significant for low self-esteem youth and for youth receiving little positive parenting but was non-significant for children with high levels of these protective resources. Our findings show that youth most vulnerable to PTS symptoms as a result of exposure to ethnic-political violence are those with lower levels of self-esteem and who experience low levels of positive parenting. Interventions for war-exposed youth should test whether boosting self-esteem and positive parenting might reduce subsequent levels of PTS symptoms.

The empirical literature on the effects of exposure to ethnic-political violence on youth is growing (e.g., Barber’s, 2009, edited volume on Adolescents and War; special sections in the journal Child Development [Volume 81, Issue 4, 2010; Volume 67, Issue 1, 1996], and the International Journal of Behavioral Development [Sagi-Schwartz, Seginer, & Abdeen, 2008]). Such exposure has deleterious impacts on youth (Betancourt et al., 2010; Cummings, Merrilees, et al., 2010; Cummings, Schermerhorn, et al., 2010; Kithakye, Morris, Terranova, & Myers, 2010; Klases et al., 2010; Layne et al., 2010; Qouta, Punamäki, & El Sarraj, 2008), most notably on posttraumatic stress (PTS) symptoms (Qouta, Punamäki, & Myers, 2010; Klasen et al., 2010; Layne et al., 2010; Qouta, Punamäki, & El Sarraj, 2008). Yet research also has indicated the resiliency of children exposed to ethnic-political violence (e.g., Cairns & Dawes, 1996; Garbarino & Kostelnky, 1996; Punamäki, Qouta, & El Sarraj, 1997), highlighting the need to identify protective factors that might moderate the negative effects of this exposure. Because most of the empirical research has used cross-sectional designs (Barber & Schluterman, 2009), prospective studies are needed to draw more definitive causal conclusions about effects of exposure and potential protective factors on subsequent adjustment. In our three-wave longitudinal study, we examine family (parental mental health and positive parenting strategies) and individual resources (self-esteem and academic achievement) that might protect Israeli and Palestinian youth from the potentially deleterious effects of exposure to ethnic-political conflict/violence on subsequent PTS symptoms.

**YOUTHS’ EXPOSURE TO ETHNIC-POLITICAL CONFLICT/VIOLENCE AND PTS SYMPTOMS**

Interethnic and political conflicts are raging in many regions around the world, especially in the Middle East, where since the beginning of the second Intifada in September 2000 through to the end of January 2011, at least 7,487 people have been killed, including 1,442 minors (B’Tselem, Israeli Information Center for Human Rights in the Occupied Territories, 2009). Exposure to extreme ethnic-political violence seems to interfere with the child’s cognitive and emotional processing of those experiences and leads to the three hallmark criteria for PTS disorder (PTSD; Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders [4th ed., text rev.; DSM–IV–TR]; American Psychiatric Association, 2000): reexperiencing the event (e.g., intrusive memories, dreams), avoidance of stimuli associated with the events and emotional numbing, and symptoms of increased arousal (e.g., hypervigilance, irritability, sleep problems). Regarding youth in the Middle East, although a few studies have shown that the majority of youth exposed to extreme political violence in Gaza (e.g., bombardment of homes) exhibit PTSD (Qouta, Punamäki, & El Sarraj, 2003; Thabet, Tawahina, El Sarraj, & Vostanis, 2008), in general, the studies have shown only modest to moderate correlations between exposure and PTS symptoms (e.g., Abdeen, Qasrawi, Nabil, & Shaheen, 2008; Barber & Schluterman, 2009; Qouta, Punamäki, & El Sarraj, 2008; Slone, 2009; Thabet, Ibraheem, Shivram, Winter, & Vostanis, 2009; Victoroff et al., 2010), suggesting that there is remarkable resilience among youth. This has prompted researchers to stress the urgent need to identify factors that promote resiliency (Barber, 2009; Sagi-Schwartz, 2008; Seginer, 2008).

Our team’s prior experiences conducting research in the Middle East indicated that to assess the full range of political violence exposure and PTS symptoms among youth, we should include all three main ethnic subgroups: Palestinians living in Gaza and the West Bank; Israeli Jews; and Israeli Arabs, who make up 20% of the Israeli population. Landau et al. (2010) and Lavi and Solomon (2005) contrasted the cultural and socioeconomic differences, political attitudes, and daily life experiences of the groups. Lavi and Solomon found that Palestinian youth in Gaza were exposed to more political violence than their counterparts in Israel. Landau et al. found that Israeli Jewish youth reported higher levels of political violence exposure than Israeli Arabs, possibly because Israeli Jews are more likely to be the targets in the Palestinian–Israeli conflict. Lavi and Solomon also reported higher levels of PTS symptoms among Palestinians in Gaza compared to those in Israel, possibly due to their higher levels of violence exposure. Thus, by including all three groups, we expected to find a wider range of variability in exposure and in PTS symptoms than we would in any one group alone.

**The Risk and Protective Factor Model**

The risk and protective factor model of developmental psychopathology (Garmezy & Neuchterlein, 1972; Institute of Medicine, 2009; Rutter, 1990) has been the
Evidence suggests strong support for identifying ecological and individual factors that place children at risk for developing psychopathology and promote resilience, that is, "the process of, capacity for, or outcome of successful adaptation despite challenging or threatening circumstances" (Masten, Best, & Garmezy, 1990, p. 426). Key studies identified common factors that promoted positive outcomes despite exposure to adverse conditions (e.g., Cowen, Wyman, Work, & Parker, 1990; Masten et al., 1990; Masten et al., 1999; Werner, 1993). These common factors included both family factors reflecting social support for the youth and individual and family factors that would promote the youths’ ability to cope with adverse situations. Family factors included positive relationships between parents and their children, which included more age-appropriate and consistent discipline practices and affectional ties with the extended family. Individual factors included at least average intelligence, school adjustment, effective coping/problem-solving skills, and self-esteem.

Much of the literature on youth’s resilience to PTSD comes from studies conducted on populations coping with large-scale natural disasters (e.g., LaGreca, Silverman, Lai, & Jaccard, 2010; LaGreca, Silverman, Vernberg, & Prinstein, 1996; LaGreca, Silverman, & Wasserstein, 1998; Prinstein, LaGreca, Vernberg, & Silverman, 1996; Vernberg, LaGreca, Silverman, & Prinstein, 1996). Weems and Overstreet (2009) suggested that such adverse conditions impact children’s perceptions of their physical security, self-efficacy, self-worth, and social relatedness, and their expected age-relevant role competencies (e.g., academic achievement, forming peer relationships; Sandler, 2001; Sandler Miller, Short, & Wolchik, 1989). Protective factors seem to exert their effects in helping youth cope with the stress of disasters by restoring or supporting the child’s self-worth, security of social relations, and sense of control. At the level of the microsystem, family resources (e.g., positive parenting, parental mental health) provide support for youths that enhance their ability to cope; at the ontogenic level, factors within the child (e.g., self-esteem) can improve coping. In the literature on children’s responses to life disruptions caused by disasters, two particular family-level factors are often identified as affecting youths’ postdisaster coping and adjustment: parenting factors (e.g., monitoring, family cohesion, parenting efficacy, social support) and maternal psychological adjustment (Rowe, LaGreca, & Alexandersson, 2010; Scaramella, Sohr-Preston, Callahan, & Mirabile, 2008; Scheeringa & Zeanah, 2008; Spell et al., 2008). Individual-level factors have been shown to predict postdisaster coping and adjustment. First, predisaster psychological symptoms (e.g., trait anxiety, negative affect) predicted postdisaster posttraumatic stress symptoms, highlighting the importance of controlling for initial symptoms (LaGreca et al., 1998; Lengua, Long, Smith, & Meltzoff, 2005; Weems et al., 2007). In addition, academic achievement (LaGreca et al., 1998) and self-esteem and social competence (Lengua et al., 2005), predicted postdisaster adjustment.

**Protective Factors for Youth Exposed to Ethnic-Political Conflict/Violence**

There is less research on factors that specifically protect against the consequences of exposure to ethnic-political violence. It is important to assess whether protective factors identified in the broader literature are effective for children exposed to such an extreme stressor as ethnic-political violence. There is some reason to believe that they may lose their effectiveness. Luthar, Cicchetti, and Becker (2000), for example, described how a resource (e.g., supportive parenting) may lose its effectiveness when the stressor becomes overwhelming. Family resources, for example, may lose their protective potency for youth exposed to high levels of community violence (e.g., Salzinger, Feldman, Rosario, & Ng-Mak, 2010).

**Family-level factors.** Evidence suggests strong support for two key family-level factors that moderate the link between exposure to political violence and psychosocial outcomes. First, a supportive, nonpunitive parenting style appears to be protective. In a survey of 7,000 Palestinian youth 2 years after the First Intifada, Barber (1999) found that higher levels of perceived parental support were associated with positive adjustment, but higher levels of parental control were associated with negative outcomes. Punamäki et al. (1997) found that warm, supportive, and nonpunitive parenting protected children exposed to military trauma from developing PTSD symptoms and aggressive behavior. In one of the few longitudinal studies, Punamäki, Qouta, and El Sarraj (2001) assessed 86 Palestinian children during and 3 years after the Intifada. Children who were exposed to higher levels of violence and who reported passive responses to hypothetical scenes of Intifada-like violence had elevated PTSD outcomes; however, that association was not present for youth who perceived their mothers as nonrejecting and nonhostile.

Second, parental mental health seems to play a role in outcomes for children exposed to political violence. Laor, Wolmer, and Cohen (2001) reported on a 5-year longitudinal study of 107 Israeli children and their mothers whose homes were damaged during the Gulf War SCUD missile attack. There was a significant association between children’s internalizing and externalizing problems and their mothers’ psychological maladjustment. Qouta, Punamäki, and El Sarraj (2005) and Thabet et al. (2008) found that among Palestinians, mothers’ PTSD symptoms predicted their children’s PTSD symptoms.
Within our data set, we can examine whether measures of positive parenting strategies and parental mental health moderate the effects of exposure to violence on their children.

Individual-level factors. At the individual level, few protective factors other than political ideology have been examined (e.g., Barber & Schluterman, 2009; Qouta, Punamäki, & El Sarraj, 2008), so it is unclear whether individual-level factors identified in the broader child resilience literature (e.g., academic/intellectual achievement, self-esteem; Cowen et al., 1990; Masten et al., 1999; Sandler, 2001; Werner, 1993) might also play a protective role for children exposed to political violence. Sandler (2001) suggested that “success in developmental tasks and associated competencies across the lifespan have been central to theories of resilience” (p. 29) and noted the strong connection between academic functioning and indices of mental health in youth. La Greca et al. (1998) found that children with higher academic skills over a year before exposure to Hurricane Andrew had lower levels of PTS symptoms 3 months posthurricane. Perhaps children who are able to maintain success in academic achievement retain a sense of competence and efficacy that can counteract effects of adverse conditions. In terms of personality characteristics, Kliwer and Sandler (1992) theorized that children with higher levels of self-esteem might appraise stressors differently than children with lower levels of self-esteem (e.g., as a challenge for growth vs. as a threat to their security), in turn influencing their reactions to stressors. Stress-buffering effects of self-esteem have been found for children exposed to abuse (Zimrin, 1986), inter-parental conflict (Neighbors, Forehand, & McVicar, 1993), and cumulative stressful events (Kliwer & Sandler, 1992). In our data set, we can examine whether academic achievement and self-esteem might protect children exposed to ethic-political violence.

The Present Study

In the current study we report on data collected from a sample of 600 Palestinian and 901 Israeli children, equally distributed across three age cohorts (ages 8, 11, and 14), who were interviewed once a year for 3 consecutive years. We have reported initial empirical findings from this project. Dubow et al. (2010) and Landau et al. (2010) reported results from Wave 1: Exposure to ethnic-political conflict/violence was related both to aggression and PTS symptoms, even after controlling for a range of demographic and contextual factors. Boxer et al. (in press) found that political violence exposure predicted increases in violence at more proximal levels of the social ecology (e.g., school, community), but only political violence predicted subsequent aggression at peers across age groups. Dubow et al. (in press) found that cumulative exposure to violence across multiple contexts (i.e., political, family, school, community) predicted subsequent PTS symptoms. The present study is the only one that examines, prospectively, the role of protective factors in the relation between political violence exposure and subsequent PTS symptoms.

Based on the aforementioned theorizing, we first hypothesized that greater exposure to ethnic-political violence would be related longitudinally to higher levels of subsequent PTS symptoms independently of the level of initial PTS symptoms and demographic differences. Second, we predicted that the longitudinal relation would be moderated by both family-level (i.e., parent mental health, positive parenting) and individual-level factors (i.e., self-esteem and academic grades), such that higher levels of parental mental health, positive parenting, self-esteem, and academic achievement (grades) would attenuate the significant relation between exposure and PTS symptoms. Based on the distribution of war violence in Israel and Palestine, we also expected to observe the highest levels of exposure to violence among Palestinian youth, the next highest among Jewish Israeli youth, and the least among Arab Israeli youth; consequently, we expected that the frequency of PTS symptoms would vary among the samples in parallel with the pattern of exposure to violence.

METHOD

Sample

Three waves of data at 1-year intervals were collected on samples of three age cohorts (ages 8, 11, and 14) of Palestinian and Israeli Arab and Jewish children (N = 1,501 at Wave 1) between 2008 and 2010. The samples were drawn from the different ethnic groups and regions (e.g., Gaza vs. West Bank) to maximize the variance in exposure to violence. At each wave, each selected child and the child’s parent were interviewed.

Palestinian sample. The Palestinian sample at Wave 1 included 600 children: 200 8-year olds (101 girls, 99 boys), 200 11-year olds (100 girls, 100 boys) and 200 14-year olds (100 girls, 100 boys) and one of their parents (98% were mothers). Using census maps of the West Bank and Gaza provided by the Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics, residential areas were sampled proportionally to achieve a representative sample of the general population. First, Palestinian areas were divided into two areas: West Bank (64% of the sample) and Gaza Strip (36% of the sample), and counting areas were divided according to size. One hundred counting areas were selected randomly. In each area, a sample was selected...
whereby six children would be interviewed, three male and three female divided equally over the three ages under examination. Houses in each counting area were divided to allow random selection of six homes. In the first home, an interview could be conducted with any one of the six types of children needed; if there was more than one child who fit the description, one was selected using Kish Household Tables. In the second home, the age/gender type of child selected in the previous home would be excluded and so the choices would become five, rather than six, and so on. Only 10% of families initially approached declined to participate. Staff from the Palestinian Center for Policy and Survey Research conducted the sampling and interviews.

Almost 100% (599/600) of the parents reported their religion as Muslim, and 99% were married. One third of the parents reported having at least a high school degree. Parents reported that on average, there were 4.89 ($SD = 1.86$) children in the home. These statistics are representative of the general population of Palestinians based on the 2007 census (Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics, 2008). At Wave 2, 590 Palestinian children and their parents were again interviewed, for a resampling rate of 98%, and 572 Palestinian children and their parents were interviewed in Wave 3 for a resampling rate of 95%. Differences in study variables related to attrition are reported in the Preliminary Analysis section of the Results.

**Israeli sample.** The Israeli sample included 901 children and their parents. The Arab group consisted of 450 children: 150 8-year-olds (66 girls, 84 boys), 149 11-year-olds (69 girls, 80 boys) and 151 14-year-olds (79 girls, 72 boys), and one of their parents (68% were mothers). The Jewish group consisted of 451 children: 151 8-year-olds (79 girls, 72 boys), 150 11-year-olds (73 girls, 77 boys), and 150 14-year-olds (94 girls, 56 boys), and one of their parents (87% were mothers).

In comparison to the level of violence in Palestine, the level of violence is relatively low in the major population centers of Israel; so we oversampled high-risk areas. Of the Arab sample, 7% live in Jerusalem, 70% in the north (near the Lebanese border), and 23% in central Israel (low conflict area). Of the Jewish sample, 15% live in Jerusalem, 25% in the north, 23% in the south (around the Gaza Strip), 24% in the occupied West Bank, and 14% in central Israel. Families in these areas were randomly sampled three ways:

1. Recruitment by cluster sampling: Within the designated area, we randomly selected neighborhoods and streets, and the interviewers went door to door locating families with children fitting the sample.
2. Nonprobability expansion of the sample: Interviewees were asked to recommend other families that fit the sample criteria. Each nominated family’s census data were verified, and if their demographic characteristics met the requirements, the family was included.
3. Random dialing expansion of the sample: Random phone calls were made to households in the designated area. The respondents were asked to participate if they fit the sampling criteria.

Face-to-face interviews were scheduled for those who agreed to participate (55% in the Jewish sample and 65% in the Arab sample). Staff from the Mahshov Survey Research Institute conducted the sampling and interviews.

Among the Israeli Arab sample, 92% of the parents were married and 55% to 60% did not graduate from high school. Parents reported that on average, there were 3.17 ($SD = 1.39$) children in the home. Among the Israeli Jewish sample, 91% of the parents were married and more than 80% had graduated from high school. Parents reported that on average, there were 3.59 ($SD = 1.83$) children in the home. Among Israeli Arabs, in Wave 2, 386 children and their parents were reinterviewed (86%); at Wave 3, 385 were reinterviewed (86%).

Among Israeli Jews, however, the Wave 2 resampling rate was only 68%, with 305 children and their parents being interviewed; in Wave 3 it was 63%, with 282 children and their parents being interviewed. The decrement in the number of participants interviewed among Israeli Jews was mostly due to “refusals.” The refusing participants reported that they did not feel the monetary reimbursement was sufficient to justify their time. In fact, due to significant exchange rate changes, the amount of money offered to each participant was significantly less in Waves 2 and 3. Because Arab Israelis had much lower average incomes, the amount was perceived as sufficient by most of them. Differences in study variables related to attrition are reported in the upcoming Preliminary Analysis section.

**Consent and Interview Procedures**

The project was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the University of Michigan (Behavioral Sciences) and the Hebrew University of Jerusalem. Participants were told that the study concerned the effects of ethnic-political conflict on children and their families, assessments would take 1 hr, and one parent and one child would be asked to participate. The voluntary and confidential nature of the study was emphasized. The family was compensated at the region’s Wave 1 equivalent rate of $25. The interviews of the parent/child were conducted in the families’ homes separately and privately; the interviewers read the surveys to the respondents, who indicated their answers which were then recorded by the interviewer.
Measures

Over the first several months of the project, we met with our collaborators at the two sites (Hebrew University and the Palestinian Center for Policy and Survey Research) to choose measures (with a focus on those used previously in the Middle East when possible) and to adapt items, as needed. The original English measures were translated and back-translated for accuracy by native-speaking teams. Next, we conducted youth focus groups in each region for each age group separately, specifically to comment on exposure to ethnic-political conflict and violence; the goal was to insure that our survey items covered the broad array of events. Finally, we conducted two rounds of pilot testing of the survey on nine parent/child dyads (three from each age group) in each region, which included asking participants to discuss any items or response formatting that caused confusion. The items and response formatting of the measures were found to be relevant and understandable across age groups. Interviews were conducted with same-ethnicity interviewers, and the surveys were presented in appropriate native languages (i.e., Hebrew for Israeli Jews and Arabic for Palestinians and Israeli Arabs; Israeli Arabs were able to select Hebrew or Arabic) with no variation between waves of data collection.

**Demographic information.** Parents reported basic demographic characteristics (e.g., child age, child gender). As an index of socioeconomic status, parent education was coded as follows: 1 = illiterate to 10 = doctorate or law degree, and the mean of both parents’ educational levels was computed.

**Exposure to ethnic-political conflict and violence.** Parents of children in the 8-year-old cohort reported on their children’s exposure to political conflict and violence in each wave, whereas children in the 11- and 14-year-old cohorts provided self-reports in each wave.1 The exposure to political conflict and violence scale includes 24 items adapted from Slone, Lobel, and Gilat (1999; Slone, 2009) who designed this measure for Middle East youth. Respondents indicated the extent to which the child experienced the event in the past year along a 4-point scale, from 0 (never) to 3 (many times). The items comprise the following domains of political conflict and violence events: loss of, or injury to, a friend or family member (five items; e.g., “Has a friend or acquaintance of yours been injured as a result of political or military violence?”); nonviolent events (six items; e.g., “How often have you spent a prolonged period of time in a security shelter or under curfew?”); self or significant others participated in political demonstrations (three items; e.g., “How often have you known someone who was involved in a violent political demonstration?”); witnessed actual violence (four items asked Palestinians about exposure to violence perpetrated by Israelis, and the same four items asked Israelis about exposure to violence perpetrated by Palestinians; e.g., “How often have you seen right in front of you Palestinians [Israelis] being held hostage, tortured, or abused by Israelis [Palestinians]? ”); and witnessed media portrayals of violence (six items, worded for Palestinians to reflect violence perpetrated by Israelis, and worded for Israelis to reflect violence perpetrated by Palestinians; e.g., “How often have you seen video clips or photographs of injured or martyred Palestinians [injured or dead Israelis] on stretchers or the ground because of an Israeli [Palestinian] attack?”). There were significant correlations among the five domains of exposure to political conflict/violence, and coefficient alphas for the full 24-item index across ethnic subgroups ranged from .77 to .86 (parent report for youngest cohort) and .76 to .79 (self-report for older two cohorts) at Wave 1 and .79 to .84 (parent report for youngest cohort) and .75 to .84 (self-report for older two cohorts) at Wave 2. As in our previous reports (Dubow et al., 2010; Dubow et al., in press; Landau et al., 2010), we used a total score that reflects the average of the responses to all 24 items. We calculated a composite exposure score across Waves 1 and 2 ($r = .69$, $p < .01$) by summing the participant’s scores.

**Posttraumatic stress symptoms.** Children completed nine items from the Child Post-traumatic Stress Symptoms Index (Pynoos, Frederick, & Nader, 1987). The measure has been used with children in the Middle East (e.g., Wolmer, Laor, Gershon, Mayes, & Cohen, 2000). The items follow the three major DSM criteria (American Psychiatric Association, 2000) for posttraumatic stress disorder. The scale was administered immediately after the exposure to conflict and violence items, using the following instructions:

I will read to you a list of the feelings and thoughts that kids might have when they have seen or heard about very bad, scary, violent, or dangerous things like we just asked you about. Tell me how often you had these
feelings and thoughts in the past month...never (0), hardly ever (1), sometimes (2), or a lot (3).

Due to time constraints, we chose nine of the 21 Child Post-traumatic Stress Symptoms Index items, three items from each of three symptom subscales: reexperiencing the event (e.g., “You have upsetting thoughts, pictures, or sounds of what happened come into your mind when you do not want them to.”), avoidance of stimuli associated with the event (e.g., “You try not to talk about, think about, or have feelings about what happened.”), and increased arousal (e.g., “When something reminds you of what happened, you have strong feelings in your body like heart beating fast, headaches, or stomachaches.”). The participant’s score reflects the mean of his or her responses to the items. Coefficient alphas ranged from .70 to .91 across ethnic subgroups and time points.

Hypothesized protective factors. In line with our theorizing, we assessed four potential protective factors in each wave. For testing the role of the protective factors in moderating the effect of exposure to violence in the first two waves on PTS symptoms in the last wave, we also created for each factor a composite Wave 1 to Wave 2 score by averaging across the two waves.

1. Self-esteem: We used three items from the Rosenberg Global Self-esteem scale (Rosenberg, 1965). The items were “You feel good about yourself,” “You are able to do things as well as most other people your age,” and “Most of the time, you are happy with yourself.” Children responded to each item along a 4-point scale ranging 0 (not at all true) to 3 (definitely true). Coefficient alphas ranged from .55 to .81 across ethnic subgroups at Wave 1 and .62 to .86 at Wave 2. The scale score reflects the average of the responses to the items. Scores were averaged across Waves 1 and 2 ($r = .28$, $p < .01$).

2. Academic grades: Parents responded to a single item that was deemed appropriate in each region: “What kinds of grades is your child generally getting in school right now?” (1 = mostly 50s to 5 = mostly 90s). Scores were averaged across Waves 1 and 2 ($r = .85$, $p < .01$).

3. Parent mental health: Parents responded to five items from the Depression scale of the Symptom Checklist-90 (Derogatis, 1994), indicating how much each problem “has distressed or bothered you during the past seven days, including today,” along a 4-point scale ranging from 0 (not at all) to 4 (extremely). Sample items include “feeling lonely,” “feeling blue,” and “feeling hopeless about the future.” Coefficient alphas ranged from .76 to .81 across ethnic subgroups at Wave 1 and .78 to .87 at Wave 2. The scale score reflects the average of the responses to the five items. Scores were averaged across Waves 1 and 2 ($r = .54$, $p < .01$).

4. Positive parenting: Parents responded to the four-item index of nonviolent discipline from the Conflict Tactics Scales, Parent–Child Version (Straus, Hamby, Finkelhor, Moore, & Runyan, 1998). Sample items were “How often in the past year have you or your spouse explained why something was wrong?” and “How often in the past year have you or your spouse taken privileges away or grounded this child?” Parents responded along a 4-point scale, from 1 (never) to 4 (often). The scale score reflects the average of the responses to the four items. Because of the highly skewed nature of responses, Straus et al. (1998) treat the CTS as an index rather than a scale, and calculate either a frequency score (dichotomizing each item and taking a sum) or a chronicity score (average number of times the parent engaged in each item). We calculated chronicity scores at Waves 1 and 2; scores were averaged across Waves 1 and 2 ($r = .31$, $p < .01$).

Because the hypothesized protective factor measures were not previously used in the Middle East, we conducted confirmatory factor analyses to assess whether these measures were invariant across time and ethnic subgroups. We computed a separate structural equation measurement model for each measure. We tested each model with the parameters constrained to be the same across ethnic group and time. The final measurement models constrained to be metrically invariant across time and ethnic group fit adequately with CFIIs ranging from .92 to .96 and root mean square errors of approximation ranging from .042 to .057. Following Cheung and Rensvold (2002), we concluded that we had sufficient metric invariance to proceed with longitudinal analyses.

Statistical Analyses

For preliminary analyses, we present sample descriptive statistics of the major study variables by age group, sex, and ethnic subgroup. We also describe tests for non-normality and outliers, and attrition analyses. For the primary analyses, we treated missing data using the Full Information Maximum Likelihood (FIML) estimation procedure in Mplus (Version 6; Muthén & Muthén, 1998–2010). FIML assumes that missing data are “missing at random” (Allison, 2001). Data are called “missing at random” when whether an observation on a variable is missing or not is predicted by other measured variables but is not predicted by other unmeasured variables. Parameter estimates based on FIML estimation methods will be unbiased to the extent that variables related to
missingness can be included within the estimated analytic models (Graham & Donaldson, 1993), so these significant predictors of missingness were included. As a cross-check, we also conducted complete case analyses and analyses based on multiple imputation of missing data. The conclusions based on analyses using FIML estimation did not differ from those based on complete case analysis or multiply imputed missing values.2

For the primary analyses, we present zero-order correlations among the major study variables. Next, to examine the unique main effects of the predictors on Wave 3 PTS, and the effects of the potential moderator variables, we computed a regression analysis using Mplus (Version 6; Muthén & Muthén, 1998–2010). To address nonnormality of the data, we used robust maximum likelihood estimation (MLR option in Mplus; see also La Greca et al., 2010).3 Following Aiken and West (1991) and Holmbeck (2002), we centered all variables entering into interaction terms. Any significant interaction effects were probed by examining simple slope regression lines of the relation between exposure to ethnic-political conflict/violence and Wave 3 PTS (one for a high level of the moderator variable—1 SD above the centered mean, and one for a low level of the moderator—1 SD below the centered mean).

RESULTS

Preliminary Analyses

Sample descriptive statistics. Table 1 shows the means and standard deviations for the major study variables by age cohort, sex, and ethnic subgroup. These effects of each of these demographic variables on the major study variables were tested with a set of three-way analyses of variance. As expected, Palestinian youth experienced the highest levels of exposure to political conflict/violence, followed by Israeli Jews, and then Israeli Arabs. Male and older children were exposed to higher levels of ethnic political conflict/violence. Also as expected, Palestinian youth experienced the highest levels of PTS symptoms, followed by Israeli Jews, and then Israeli Arabs; female children experienced higher levels of PTS symptoms than male.

Demographic differences also were found for the hypothesized moderator variables. Palestinian youth had the lowest levels of self-esteem and academic grades, and their parents reported the highest levels of depression and positive parenting. Younger children had the highest levels of self-esteem and academic grades, and their parents reported the highest levels of positive parenting. Female children had higher academic grades than male, and parents of males reported higher levels of positive parenting compared to parents of female children.

Nonnormality and outliers. Univariate skewness values ranged from −.58 to 1.27, and univariate kurtosis values ranged from −.54 to 1.06. Regression diagnostics found 10 cases that exceeded cutoffs for high leverage and 28 cases that exceeded cutoffs for influential cases (Cook’s D). The major analyses were computed with and without these cases. The significance of the main and interaction effects did not change. The results reported here include these cases.

Attrition analyses. For the Palestinian sample, by Wave 3, nonresampled children reported experiencing higher levels of exposure to ethnic-political conflict/violence and Wave 3 PTS symptoms from Wave 1 to Wave 3 (t(587) = 2.72, p < .01, and parents of nonresampled children reported lower levels of positive parenting at Wave 2, t(588) = 1.97, p < .05. For the Israeli Arabs, nonresampled children had higher exposure to political conflict/violence, t(448) = 3.17, p < .01; higher symptoms of posttraumatic stress, t(447) = 3.05, p < .01; lower self-esteem, t(448) = 2.15, p < .05; and lower academic grades, t(444) = 2.58, p < .05; and their parents reported higher levels of depression, t(448) = 4.01, p < .01. For Israeli Jews, attrition by Wave 3 was associated with lower levels of average parental education at Wave 1, t(449) = 3.31, p < .01, and lower academic achievement at Wave 2, t(301) = 1.97, p < .05. However, despite these mean differences, none of the key study variables showed a substantial restriction in range due to attrition.

Correlations Among the Major Study Variables

Table 2 shows that there was moderate continuity in PTS symptoms from Wave 1 to Wave 3 (r = .39, p < .01). Also, as predicted, higher levels of exposure to ethnic-political conflict/violence across Waves 1 and 2 were associated with higher levels of PTS symptoms at Wave 3 (r = .33, p < .01). Higher levels of self-esteem and academic grades were related to lower levels of PTS symptoms at Wave 3 (rs = −.23 and −.20, p < .01, respectively), and higher levels of parent depression were related to higher levels of PTS symptoms at Wave 3 (r = .19, p < .01).

---

2Following La Greca et al. (2010), as an alternative to FIML to estimate missing data, we replicated the correlation and regression analyses using a multiple imputation procedure in Mplus, generating 10 imputed data sets. This procedure did not affect the significance of the correlations or the regression results.

As an alternative approach to dealing with nonnormality, we used the bootstrapping procedure in AMOS, requesting 200 bootstrapped samples and bias-corrected confidence intervals for each of the parameter bootstrap estimates. This procedure did not affect the conclusions based on the robust maximum likelihood estimation results.

---
TABLE 1

Descriptive Statistics: Means (Standard Deviations) for Study Variables by Age, Sex, and Ethnic Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Pal</th>
<th>Isr-J</th>
<th>Isr-A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exposure to Pol Viol. (W1 &amp; 2)</td>
<td>.14 (.86)</td>
<td>.16 (.84)</td>
<td>.14 (.83)</td>
<td>.14 (.83)</td>
<td>.16 (.88)</td>
<td>.16 (.88)</td>
<td>.13 (.75)</td>
<td>.15 (.94)</td>
<td>.20 (.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0 = never to 3 = many times; summed across waves 0–6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posttraumatic Stress (W1)</td>
<td>1.11 (.62)</td>
<td>1.11 (.62)</td>
<td>1.13 (.60)</td>
<td>1.09 (.64)</td>
<td>1.17 (.62)</td>
<td>1.05 (.60)</td>
<td>1.39 (.60)</td>
<td>1.01 (.57)</td>
<td>.84 (.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0 = never, 3 = definitely true)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posttraumatic Stress (W3)</td>
<td>.88 (.68)</td>
<td>.89 (.69)</td>
<td>.87 (.66)</td>
<td>.84 (.69)</td>
<td>.95 (.70)</td>
<td>.80 (.64)</td>
<td>1.15 (.70)</td>
<td>.81 (.52)</td>
<td>.51 (.58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0 = not true, 3 = mostly true)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Esteem (W1 &amp; 2)</td>
<td>2.11 (.60)</td>
<td>2.18 (.57)</td>
<td>2.11 (.61)</td>
<td>2.03 (.61)</td>
<td>2.11 (.60)</td>
<td>2.12 (.59)</td>
<td>1.84 (.57)</td>
<td>2.46 (.46)</td>
<td>2.24 (.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0 = not at all to 4 = extremely)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic Grades (W1 &amp; 2)</td>
<td>.91 (1.06)</td>
<td>4.26 (.93)</td>
<td>3.83 (1.06)</td>
<td>3.64 (1.09)</td>
<td>4.04 (1.02)</td>
<td>3.78 (1.09)</td>
<td>3.31 (1.08)</td>
<td>4.38 (1.06)</td>
<td>4.46 (.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1 = mostly 50 s to 5 = mostly 90 s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental Depression (W1 &amp; 2)</td>
<td>.72 (.73)</td>
<td>.67 (.68)</td>
<td>.73 (.74)</td>
<td>.76 (.77)</td>
<td>.70 (.71)</td>
<td>.74 (.75)</td>
<td>1.07 (.83)</td>
<td>.48 (.48)</td>
<td>.38 (.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0 = not at all to 4 = extremely)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Parenting (W1 &amp; 2)</td>
<td>2.56 (.59)</td>
<td>2.69 (.53)</td>
<td>2.58 (.59)</td>
<td>2.41 (.60)</td>
<td>2.48 (.57)</td>
<td>2.64 (.59)</td>
<td>2.60 (.53)</td>
<td>2.51 (.61)</td>
<td>2.56 (.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1 = never to 4 = often)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: A three-way (Age × Sex × Ethnic Group) analysis of variance was computed for each variable; Ns range from 1,238 (Posttraumatic Stress W3) to 1,501 (Posttraumatic Stress W1) across analyses. Post hoc multiple comparison (least significant differences) tests were computed between means of subgroups defined by age cohort, sex, and ethnic group. Within a comparison, means with different subscripts are significantly different at p < .05. Pol. Viol. = political conflict/violence; W1 = Wave 1; Pal = Palestinians; Isr-J = Israeli Jews; Isr-A = Israeli Arabs; W 1 & 2 = Waves 1 and 2 averaged; W3 = Wave 3.

Assessing the Longitudinal Relation Between Exposure to Ethnic-Political Conflict/Violence and Subsequent PTS Symptoms and What Moderates the Relation

We computed a multiple regression analysis in Mplus that predicted Wave 3 PTS symptoms from exposure to violence and the potential moderator variables (child’s self-esteem; child’s academic grades, parental depression, positive parenting) aggregated over the first two waves. Moderation was tested by examining the interaction of exposure to ethnic-political conflict/violence with each of the four hypothesized moderator variables. We also included the following demographic variables: child’s sex, child’s age, average level of parents’ education, and ethnic group dummy-coded into two variables: Palestinian (1) versus not Palestinian (0) and Israeli Arab (1) versus not Israeli Arab (0) with Jewish (0, 0) as the reference group. (Three-way interactions of Ethnic Subgroup [dummy-coded] × Exposure × Each Moderator Variable were entered, but none was significant.)

Table 3 shows that the model accounted for 26% of the variance in Wave 3 PTS symptoms. There were significant main effects for Wave 1 PTS symptoms ($\beta = .23$), reflecting the stability in symptoms over time. In addition, higher levels of exposure to ethnic-political violence ($\beta = .12$) and lower self-esteem ($\beta = -.10$) predicted higher levels of Wave 3 PTS symptoms. There were no main effects for the other three hypothesized

TABLE 2

Correlations Among the Major Study Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Posttraumatic stress (W1)</td>
<td>.38**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure to political conflict/violence (W1 &amp; 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-.24**</td>
<td>-.23**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-esteem (W1 &amp; 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-.23**</td>
<td>-.43**</td>
<td>.32**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic grades (W1 &amp; 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>.26**</td>
<td>.38**</td>
<td>-.19**</td>
<td>-.31**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent’s depression (W1 &amp; 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>.05**</td>
<td>.07**</td>
<td>-.03</td>
<td>-.05</td>
<td>.32**</td>
<td>.19**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive parenting (W1 &amp; 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>.39**</td>
<td>.33**</td>
<td>-.23**</td>
<td>-.20**</td>
<td>.39**</td>
<td>.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posttraumatic stress (W3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. W1 = Wave 1; Pol. Viol. = political conflict/violence; W 1 & 2 = Waves 1 and 2 averaged; W3 = Wave 3.

*p < .05, **p < .10.
protective factors. Significant interactions were found for exposure to ethnic-political conflict/violence with self-esteem, parent depression, and positive parenting suggesting that each has a moderating effect over time. Figure 1 shows a risk-buffering effect for self-esteem. For youth with low self-esteem, higher levels of exposure to ethnic-political conflict/violence predicted higher levels of Wave 3 PTS symptoms ($\beta = .16$). This relation was not significant for youth with high self-esteem. Figure 2 shows a risk-enhancing effect for parental depression. For youth whose parents had high levels of depression, there was a positive relation between exposure to political conflict/violence and Wave 3 PTS symptoms ($\beta = .12$), but this relation was not significant for youth whose parents had low levels of depression. Figure 3 shows a risk-buffering effect for positive parenting. For youth with low levels of positive parenting, higher levels of exposure to ethnic-political conflict/violence predicted higher levels of Wave 3 PTS symptoms ($\beta = .20$); this relation was not significant for youth who had high levels of positive parenting.

DISCUSSION

We examined the effects of exposure to ethnic-political conflict/violence on subsequent PTS symptoms among Middle East youth. We focused on selected potential protective factors at the family- and individual-levels identified in the broader child resilience literature (e.g., Institute of Medicine, 2009; Masten et al., 1999), prior work on children’s traumatic stress reactions to nonviolent traumatic events (i.e., natural disasters; LaGreca...
et al., 2010; LaGreca et al., 1998), and in the youth exposure to political violence literature (e.g., Qouta et al., 2005). Higher levels of exposure to ethnic-political conflict/violence predicted higher levels of later PTS symptoms, controlling for initial symptoms and several demographic variables. We also found protective effects for positive parenting and youth self-esteem.

Exposure to Ethnic-Political Conflict/Violence and PTS Symptoms: Descriptive Findings

Older youth; male children; and, as expected, Palestinian children were exposed to the highest levels of ethnic-political conflict/violence. The findings that older youth and male children were exposed to higher levels of ethnic-political violence also have been reported by others (e.g., Barber & Olsen, 2009; Thabet & Vostanis, 2000) and may reflect an increase in autonomy and mobility associated with the transition to adolescence that should place older youth, especially male children, into contexts where they would have greater opportunity for exposure, which may include activism and involvement in the conflict (e.g., demonstrating, distributing leaflets, protecting someone from soldiers, throwing stones; Barber & Olsen, 2009).

Results also showed differences in exposure and PTS symptoms linked to region (Israel, Palestine) and ethnic group within region (Israeli Jews, Israeli Arabs). In particular, based on our expectation that they would have the greatest exposure to violence, Palestinian youth also displayed the highest levels of PTS symptoms. Indeed, in terms of violence exposure, during the Second Intifada, Palestinian casualties have far outnumbered Israeli casualties (BTselem, Israeli Information Center for Human Rights in the Occupied Territories). Within Israel, Jewish youth were exposed to higher levels of ethnic-political conflict/violence than Arab youth and reported higher levels of PTS symptoms compared to Arab youth. The ethnic-political conflict in Israel is most clearly focused between the Palestinians and Israeli Jews, most likely accounting for the higher level of violence exposure, and perhaps resulting in higher levels of PTS symptoms, among Israeli Jews than Israeli Arabs.

Exposure to Ethnic-Political Conflict/Violence, Protective Effects, and PTS Symptoms

Barber and Schluterman’s (2009) review of the 95 empirical studies on the effects on youth of political violence exposure found that 43% of the studies used measures of PTS, and nearly all reported a positive relation between exposure and PTS. Our study is unique in its longitudinal design: Exposure is related to subsequent PTS symptoms, regardless of the level of prior symptoms the child had experienced, and this finding held even after statistically controlling for age, gender, ethnic subgroup, parental educational level, self-esteem, academic achievement, parental depression, and parental positive parenting.

At the family level, positive parenting (e.g., nonphysical strategies such as explaining why something was wrong, removing privileges, rewarding/praising for doing something right) served as a protective factor for children exposed to political violence probably by helping them to cope more effectively. This finding is consistent with those of extant cross-sectional studies (e.g., Barber, 1999; Garbarino & Kostelny, 1996; Laor et al., 2001; Punamäki et al., 1997; Qouta, Punamäki, Miller, & El Sarraj, 2008). Punamäki (2009) noted that “family can provide children with protection, consolation, and fortitude to endure dangerous and violent conditions” (p. 69). Very likely, even under conditions of high risk, children who experience positive parenting might develop feelings of security within the parent–child relationship, which in turn should be associated with more positive adjustment and coping (Cummings, Merrilees, et al., 2010; Cummings, Schermerhorn, et al., 2010). Also consistent with other studies in the Middle East (e.g., Qouta et al., 2005; Thabet et al., 2008), parental adjustment was related to children’s symptoms. Specifically, for youth whose parents had higher levels of depressive symptoms, there was a positive relation between violence exposure and PTS symptoms.

At the individual level, youth with higher levels of self-esteem were less likely than those with lower levels of self-esteem to experience PTS symptoms when exposed to higher levels of ethnic-political conflict/violence. Following Kliwer and Sandler (1992) and Qouta, Punamäki, and El Sarraj (2008), it is possible that children with higher levels of self-esteem cope more effectively with traumatic events. Based on the Responses to Stress Model of coping (Connor-Smith, Compas, Wadsworth, Thomsen, & Saltzman, 2000), youth with higher levels of self-esteem might more effectively match their coping strategies to the demands of uncontrollable and persistent stressors and utilize coping strategies such as disengagement (i.e., responses directed away from a stressor, e.g., distraction through seeking social support) and secondary control coping strategies (i.e., responses focused on adaptation to the problem, e.g., acceptance, cognitive restructuring). But studies have found mixed evidence for coping as a stress-buffering resource (see Grant et al., 2006). For example, LaGreca et al. (1996) found that both positive and negative coping strategies predicted higher levels of PTS symptoms 7 months posthurricane exposure, and only negative coping (blame and anger) predicted PTS symptoms 10 months posthurricane exposure. Dubow and Rubinlicht (2011) noted that coping is “a dynamic process that involves flexibility in strategies across the coping process, depending on the current demands of the situation” (p. 111). Very likely,
those individuals experiencing more distress utilize several types of coping strategies, both positive and negative, so coping and PTS may be positively related. To best assess coping with a given stressor, multiple, closely spaced measurements might be the most fruitful approach. We also note that the protective effect for self-esteem might reflect the moderate overlap between self-esteem and depression (e.g., Overholser, Adams, Lehnert, & Brinkman, 1995); that is, the result might reflect the possibility that lack of depression is the protective factor.

Children who had higher levels of academic achievement exhibited fewer PTS symptoms, but academic achievement lost its predictive ability once other variables also were included as predictors. This is probably a consequence of the fact that academic achievement is significantly correlated with two other moderators in the equation—positively with self-esteem and negatively with parental depression. Thus the variance that could be explained by academic achievement when it is a lone predictor may have been explained by self-esteem and parental depression in the combined prediction. It is also possible that our measure of academic achievement (assessed through a single parent-report item) was not a sufficient assessment.

Limitations and Implications

We note a few limitations of the current study. Like many studies of Middle East youth, ours focused primarily on exposure to violence via witnessing rather than direct victimization. Among Palestinian children, Quta, Punamäki, Miller, and El Sarraj (2008) found moderate correlations between witnessing and being the victim of military violence, and both were positively related to aggression. Future studies should assess differential effects of these different modes of exposure to political violence, as well as different types of exposure (e.g., material loss, separations, threat to loved ones, harm to loved ones, traumatic bereavement, direct exposure, witnessing violence; see Layne et al., 2010, for scale development analyses of a 49-item war-trauma measure).

Second, because exposure to political conflict/violence is common throughout Palestine, we could afford to obtain a representative sample of that entire population. However, for the Israelis (Jews and Arabs), although most live in the large cities of Jerusalem and Tel Aviv, those areas are generally in low conflict areas, so a representative sample would not have allowed us to obtain sufficient numbers who were exposed to persistent conflict/violence. Consequently, our regional colleagues designed a sampling procedure to oversample high exposure areas to insure adequate representation of exposure to ethnic-political conflict and violence. Thus, the Israeli sample is not representative of the total Israeli population, so our results are not as generalizable to the Israeli population as our results for Palestine are generalizable to the Palestine population.

Third, due to time limitations in our interviews, we could examine only four protective factors (two family- and two individual-level variables). Although from a theoretical perspective we believe these are important potential moderators that needed to be investigated, Barber and Schulterman (2009) noted that other potential protective factors in the individual domain may be important for war-exposed youth (e.g., religious and ideological commitment, coping strategies). Also, we did not assess potential protective factors from the extra-familial domain (e.g., peer social support, civic engagement, extracurricular/recreational activity involvement), and we did not assess stressful life events related to the political conflict (e.g., loss of daily necessities), which exert negative effects on youth’s adjustment (Miller & Rasmussen, 2010).

Fourth, several of our measures were abbreviated versions of existing measures. Based on our local collaborators’ experience, we limited our home-based interviews to 1 hr and adapted measures for this purpose. This is perhaps a trade-off in carrying out field-based research in high-risk regions. More work needs to be done to develop highly reliable and valid measures for this type of research.

Implications for Research, Practice, and Policy

Despite these limitations, the present study makes a unique contribution to the growing literature on the deleterious effects of exposure to persistent ethnic-political violence on children. Our study included three age cohorts from three ethnic groups in the Middle East. The prospective design allowed us to show that exposure to ethnic-political conflict/violence increases risk for subsequent PTS symptoms while controlling for children’s earlier levels of symptoms. Our design also allowed us to show that this longitudinal effect of exposure to violence on PTS symptoms is diminished for children with higher self-esteem, with parents who engage in positive parenting, and with parents who are not depressed. These findings regarding protective factors that might promote resilience for youth exposed to persistent conflict/violence underscore the importance of examining whether targeting these factors in preventive interventions reduces distress among war-exposed youth. The results support calls by researchers for the development of multi-level interventions for individuals exposed to war trauma (see DeJong, 2010). As Peltonen and Punamäki (2010) observed, most published intervention studies for war-exposed youth report mixed results from programs primarily targeting children’s cognitive processes. Yet those authors conclude that it
will be critical for applied researchers and clinicians to implement and evaluate the effects of more holistic, multilevel interventions for this population. This recommendation is supported by our findings, as well as the more general prevention-intervention literature emphasizing the importance of programs that involve multiple levels of children’s social ecologies in preventing the development of psychopathology (e.g., Greenberg, Domitrovich, & Bumbarger, 2001).
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